

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,067	07/10/2003	David G. Beer	UM-08196	8445
7590 05/15/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
David A. Casi		SANG, HONG		
MEDLEN & CARROLL, LLP Suite 350 101 Howard Street San Francisco, CA 94105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1643	
			DATE MAILED: 05/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/617,067	BEER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Hong Sang	1643					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ju	lv 2003.						
	action is non-final.						
· <u>·</u>	<del>/ -</del>						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-14 are subject to restriction and/or e	8) Claim(s) 1-14 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		-152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

RE: Beer et al.

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1-7, drawn in part to a method for characterizing lung tissue in a subject, comprising detecting a decreased or increased expressions relative to a non-cancerous lung tissue control of a marker, wherein said detecting the presence of expression of said marker comprises detecting the presence of mRNA corresponding to said marker, classified in class 435, subclass 6.

If applicants elect this group for prosecution on the merits, applicants are further required to elect a single marker from Claim 1 (i.e. AOE372, ATP5D, B4GALT, Ppase, GRP58, GSTM4, P4HB, TPI, and UCHL1). This election should not be construed as an election of species. This is a restriction requirement. Each of the marks is a structurally and functionally distinct molecule that would require separate search.

II. Claims 1-7, drawn in part to a method for characterizing lung tissue in a subject, comprising detecting a decreased or increased expressions relative to a non-cancerous lung tissue control of a marker, wherein said detecting the presence of expression of said marker comprises detecting the presence of a polypeptide corresponding to said marker, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,067

Art Unit: 1643

If applicants elect this group for prosecution on the merits, applicants are further required to elect a single marker from Claim 1 (i.e. AOE372, ATP5D, B4GALT, Ppase, GRP58, GSTM4, P4HB, TPI, and UCHL1). This election should not be construed as an election of species. This is a restriction requirement. Each of the marks is a structurally and functionally distinct molecule that would require separate search.

Page 3

III. Claims 8-10, drawn to a method of characterizing lung cancer, comprising detecting the level of expression relative to a non-cancerous lung tissue control of a marker, classified in class 435, subclass 6.

If applicants elect this group for prosecution on the merits, applicants are further required to elect a single marker from Claim 8 (i.e. GRP58, PSMC, VIM, SOD, and TPI). This election should not be construed as an election of species. This is a restriction requirement. Each of the marks is a structurally and functionally distinct molecule that would require separate search.

- IV. Claims 11-12, drawn to a method of predicting survival in lung cancer patients, comprising detecting the presence or absence of a marker, classified in class 435, subclass 4.
- V. Claims 13-14, drawn to a lung cancer expression profile map comprising gene expression level information for two or more markers, classified in class 702, subclass 19, for example.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,067 Page 4

Art Unit: 1643

If applicants elect this group for prosecution on the merits, applicants are further required to elect two markers from Claim 13. This election should not be construed as an election of species. This is a restriction requirement. Each of the marks is structurally and functionally distinct molecule that would require separate search.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I-IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). The instant specification does not disclose that these methods would be used together. The method for characterizing lung tissue in a subject (groups I-II), a method for characterizing lung cancer (group III), and the a method of predicting survival in lung cancer patients (group IV) are all unrelated as they comprise distinct steps and utilize different products which demonstrates that each method has a different mode of operation. For groups I and II, a lung tissue from any subject is characterized; for group III, a lung cancer is characterized; and for group IV, the survival of a lung cancer patients is predicted. Therefore, each group has different functions or different effects. Moreover, each invention performs this function using a structurally and functionally divergent material. The markers used in each group are distinct. Groups I and II further differ from each other in that mRNA is detected in group I, whereas, polypeptide is detected in group II. The assays used for detecting an mRNA and a polypeptide are distinct. For these reasons the Inventions I-IV are patentably distinct. Furthermore, the

distinct steps and products require separate and distinct searches. Searching for inventions I-IV is not coextensive. As such, it would be burdensome to search the inventions of groups I-IV together.

Group V and any one of groups I-IV are unrelated as the product of group V is not used or otherwise involved in the process of groups I-IV.

- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims (see claim 11) directed to the following patentably distinct species: CK19, CK7 and CK8. The species are independent or distinct because they are all structurally and functionally distinct molecules, which would require separate searches.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,067 Page 6

Art Unit: 1643

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,067 Page 7

Art Unit: 1643

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hong Sang whose telephone number is (571) 272 8145.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Larry R. Helms can be reached on (571) 272-0832. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hong Sang Art Unit 1643 Apr. 28, 2006

LARRY R. HELMS, PH.D.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

ARRY R. HELMS, PH.D.

SUPERVISORY DATEAT